UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|--|-------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/579,139 | 05/15/2006 | Melchor Daumal Castellon | 001058-00036 | 1794 | |
| 27557 BLANK ROME | 7590 06/14/201 E LLP | EXAMINER | | | |
| WATERGATE | | REDMAN, JERRY E | | | |
| 600 NEW HAMPSHIRE AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20037 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 3634 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 06/14/2011 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | | |
|-----------------|---------------------------|--|--|
| 10/579,139 | CASTELLON, MELCHOR DAUMAL | | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | | |
| JERRY REDMAN | 3634 | | |

| | JENNT NEDIVIAIN | 3034 | | | | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>06 June 2011</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APF | PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A | LLOWANCE. | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appelor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Coperiods: | the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavieal (with appeal fee) in compliance | Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing | g date of the final rejection | n. | | | | |
| Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date | f). | | | | | | |
| have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | tension and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date. | of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | |
| 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp | liance with 37 CER 41 37 must be | filed within two months | of the date of | | | | |
| filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, is (a) They raise new issues that would require further continuous the first the interest of the left that the first the first that the first | nsideration and/or search (see NO | | cause | | | | |
| (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo | | duaina ar aimhalifrina t | no inquian for | | | | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or | | | ie issues ior | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a | | ected claims. | | | | | |
| NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1 | | | | | | | |
| 4. 📙 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | | mpliant Amendment (| PTOL-324). | | | | |
| 5. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | · | • | _ | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> . Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> . | | l be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 3-6</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>none</u> . | | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome all rejections under appea | al and/or appellant fail: | s to provide a | | | | |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | n of the status of the claims after er | ntry is below or attach | ed. | | | | |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu | t does NOT place the application in | condition for allowan | ce because: | | | | |
| 12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | /Jerry Redman/ | | | | | | |
| | Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 3634 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims and specifically, the additional claim 7 would require further consideration and search.